

Fabrication and Development of a Machine for Fatigue Testing of Leaf spring

Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering
Rabindranath Tagore University, Bhopal

Abstract

Tiredness is material or machine failure owing to repeated or fluctuating stress on a component of the machine for some occasions. This failure starts with a little crack. The first crack is so tiny that the naked eye can't detect it and it can't even easily be seen in a magniflux or x-ray test. The crack develops at a time when the material is discontinuous, such as a cross section shift, a keyway, a hole or a notch. Inspection or stamp marks, inner cracks or even machining irregularities are less evident points at which fatigue failure is probable to start. The stress-concentration impact is increased once a crack is launched and the crack progresses more swiftly. A spring is defined as an elastic body which, when the charge is removed, has the function of deflecting and recovering its initial form. Leaf springs absorb by spring deflecting car vibration, shocks and bump loads, so that the possible energy is stored in leaf spring and slowly released. The capability to store and absorb more stress power ensures that the suspension system is comfortable. The spring is attached to the car axle. All car loads lie on the spring of the leaf. The front end of the spring is linked by a single pin joint to the frame, while a shackle is attached to the back end of the spring. Shackle is the flexible bond between the back eye and the frame of the leaf spring.

Keywords-magniflux, leaf spring, shackle

INTRODUCTION

The tired test machine is used to determine the tiredness or tiredness of a material. An overview of the split components in most of the waste shows that almost all defects happen at pressures below the material's yield strength. This complicated phenomenon is referred to as fatigue. Fatigue accounts for 90% of the mistakes in the sector. Due to the fact that the fatigue fracture had no noticeable plastic deformations, this was regarded as mysterious at the 19th century, leading to the belief that fatigue constituted a technical problem. In the 20th century, a significant breakthrough occurred with the assistance of more strong instruments such as computer, a strong microscopic tool after which weakness was not deemed an engineering issue, but both as a material issue and as a design phenomenon. The peak nominal stress values causing the material to fail may be much smaller than the strength of the typically cited material as the yield strength or ultimate force. When the applied loads exceed a threshold value, then in stress-concentration fields, such as grain interface, microscopic cracks start to form or where surface

defects exist. Finally, a crack reaches a critical dimension, the crack grows quicker, and the structure fails.

WORKING

The machine supply is switched OFF and the load of the spring is lowered to nil. Then the bolts are loosened in the spanning system and the specimen loaded. The bolts are tightened so that during the machine procedure the specimen does not loosen. By changing the nut below the resort load system, the necessary load will be set at the resort load and the supply will be switched ON. The digital controller is reset and the machine is switched on [1]–[7]. The specimen will fail after a certain period of time and the digital counter will stop. And it removes the faulty specimen and loads a fresh specimen and repeats the test for the various spring load values.

RESULT AND CONCLUSION

A fatigue failure known as fatigue failure happens when the stress is on a material caused by the effect of force reversal and fluctuating. The study and trial to date has shown that fatigue failure can't be correctly predicted as material failure is not only influenced by reverse loading alone but also the amount of revolutions and fluctuating stresses (cycle per minute) and other variables such as temperature, atmospheric condition as well as inner and external defects on tired material. Such deficiencies include notch, integration, stress and non-homogeneity.

References

- [1] M. L. Aggarwal, V. P. Agrawal, and R. A. Khan, "A stress approach model for predictions of fatigue life by shot peening of EN45A spring steel," *Int. J. Fatigue*, 2006.
- [2] V. K. Arora, G. Bhushan, and M. L. Aggarwal, "Fatigue Life Assessment of 65Si7 Leaf Springs: A Comparative Study," *Int. Sch. Res. Not.*, 2014.
- [3] C. Subramanian and S. Senthilvelan, "Fatigue performance of discontinuous fibre-reinforced thermoplastic leaf spring," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part L J. Mater. Des. Appl.*, 2010.
- [4] M. M. El-Sheikh, K. Godfrey, M. Manosudprasit, and N. Viwattanatipa, "Force-deflection characteristics of the fatigue-resistant device spring: an in vitro study," *World J. Orthod.*, 2007.
- [5] S. Rajesh and G. B. Bhaskar, "Experimental investigation on laminated composite leaf springs subjected to cyclic loading," *Int. J. Eng. Technol.*, 2014.
- [6] P. Borković, B. Šuštaršič, M. Malešević, B. Žužek, B. Podgornik, and V. Leskovšek,

- “Fatigue-life behaviour and a lifetime assessment of a double-leaf spring using fem-based software,” *Mater. Tehnol.*, 2012.
- [7] M. Bakir, B. Ozmen, and C. Donertas, “Correlation of Simulation, Test Bench and Rough Road Testing in terms of Strength and Fatigue Life of a Leaf Spring,” in *Procedia Engineering*, 2018.